

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/558,842	CHENG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NHA T. NGUYEN	2825	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) NHA T. NGUYEN.

(2) Dan V. Vacar (Reg. No. 63,579).

Date of Interview: 23 March 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

28

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner initiated the interview in order to suggest amendment to dependent claim 28, amending dependent claim 28 to dependent on claim 14 instead of claim 10, as claim 10 was cancelled. Applicant's Attorney authorized Examiner to do an Examiner Amendment (See Examiner Amendment).*

*/Nha Nguyen/*  
571-270-1405

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1:00 P.M.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)